

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/022,651	PALAZZO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Grant	2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher Grant. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jimmy Kim. (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09 October 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**CHRISTOPHER GRANT**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Jimmy Kim, was contacted to clarify the definition of "computer readable media" limitations in the claims. Support for computer readable media is found only on page 3, line 5 of the summary section of the specification. I asked Mr. Kim if the limitation on page 7, lines 3-4 of the specification implies that the media is a signal. Mr. Kim replied that page 7, lines 4-5 of the specification indicates that the data is stored in the memory of the set top terminal and therefore the media is a storage device and not a signal. Mr. Kim's response is persuasive and there are no outstanding 35 USC 101 issues with this application.